



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/442,791	11/18/1999	STEVEN D. IMS	RSW990108	6399	
7590 05/14/2004			EXAMINER		
JEANINE S RAY-YARLETTS			NAJJAR, SALEH		
IBM CORP DEPT T81 BLDG 062 P O BOX 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			2157	0	
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/442,791	IMS ET AL.	9
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Saleh Najjar	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The apportion originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-8, 10-12, 14-19, 21-23, 25-30</u> ,	32-34, and 36-37.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		Bell	40
		SÁLEH NAJJ PRIMARY EXAR	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The aplicant' aguments are not persuasive. The applicant argues in substance that there is no motivation to combine the teachings of Himmel with Tada and that there is no suggestion in Himmel to format a screen from a non-markup to a markup language. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the Himmel reference is directed toward accessing WEB pages on the Internet including FTP sites, dynamic HTML, XML, Java, etc via wireless devices. (see col. 1). Tada is directed toward accessing HTML and non-HTML files on the Internet including SMTP sites via wireless devices (see col. 1). For these reasons the combination of the references are proper..